SUMMARY REPORT DIGEST-
COMPLAINT REGISTER INVESTIGATION NO.:
CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT

209565

DATE OF REPORT (DAY-MO.-YEAR)

28 JUNE 94

To be used in all cases that are to be classified as either EXONERATED, UNFOUNDED, NOT SUSTAINED, or in SUSTAINED cases where the Disciplinary Recommendation does not exceed FIVE (5) DAYS SUSPENSION.

SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 3 COPIES IF ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.
SUBMIT ORIGINAL AND 4 COPIES IF NOT ASSIGNED TO SAME UNIT AS ACCUSED.

	CE TRATOR IN CH IT DEPUTY SU						
rom-investigator's name SGT. HENRY D. WARE	RANK SGT.	5TAR NO. 2143	SOCIA	L SEC. NO.	EMPLO'	YEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN 008
4959 W. 43th St		DATE OF INC		TIME 1600	BEAT 0		19
NAME 1. MCNAMARA, MARK		STAR NO.		L SEC. NO.		YEE NO.	UNIT ASSIGN
		N. 16246					008
2. NOMEN DONOVAN, PATRICK SEX/RACE O.O.B. DATE OF APPO	DINTMENT	DUTY STATU		OF INCIDEN	1 - 311		COND.
1M/W 67 24 Apr 91		D ON OUT	TY	OFF DUT	Y CIV	/ILIAN	cop ()
2. M/W 67 2 JAN 91		TUO NO IXI		OFF OUT	Y GIV	/ILIAN	01
1. D.———————————————————————————————————				A			
2.							
NAME *ADDRESS**		CITY STA		ELEPHONE 10X phon		D.O.B./AG	56 20NB.
			,	io chinae.	м/н		31 01
			T	ELEPHONE	SEX/RACE F/B	D.O.B./AG	E25 "HYS.
				_	F/B		08 - 01
NAME AODRESS**		CITY STA	TE Ť	ELEPHONE	SEX/RACE	0.0.B./AG	E PHYS. COND.
Number #1 complainant who did not	witness t	ne allege	l inci	dent al.			
formed police officers kicked in door, searched and ransacked the number #2 who also did not witness while ransacking his girlfriend's and that \$300.00 USC was missing the search of th	home withous the allegon (the vict)	at permiss ged incide im	sion o ent al apar	or a war: leges the tment da	cant. No	arrest. unknown	police of
Tavern/Liduor Store 12 Park D Other Business Establishment 13 Airpor Police Building 14 Public Lockup Facility 15 Other Police Maintenance Facility 16 Exores CPD Automotive Pound Facility 17 Public Other Police Property 18 Watery	: Transportation v District Property rt : Property - Other Private Premise ssway/Interstate S : Way - Other way, Incl. Park DI e Residence	System		No Vision Injured OB Injure	bie Injury - A ble Injury - U , Not Hospita , Not Hospita , Hospitalized , Hospitalized , Refused Mee , Refused Mee	apparently No Inder influent lized lized · Under l I · Under Influ dical Aid dical Aid · Un	ormal ce Influence

	Briefly summarize the investigation describing your efforts to prove or disprove the allegation(s). Indicate whether witnesses or evidence support or do not support the allegation(s).
	In sustained cases ONLY, copies of the accused member's Summary of Previous Disciplinary Actions and Record of Previous Compliment ary History will be neiuded as attachments. 1. The complainants alleged that a number of unknown uniformed officers kicked in the victim's rear door, searched and ransacked the apartment without a
	warrant or permission, while doing so damaged the victim's personal belangings, also they alleged that \$300.00 USC was missing from the apartment.
	2. The R/Sgt conducted interviews with the complainants, the alleged victim and the alleged witnesses, (see att#16) they all stated that they did not witness the alleged incident and were not there on the date and time of the alleged incident, but that someone had told them that the police had been at the apartment upon their return.
SUMMARY	(see att#16) he related to the R/Sgt that his brother who was the first person at the apart ment after the police (one is a thief and that the brother who lives on the street may have ransacked the apartment and taken the alleged USC. It is a thief and that the brother who lives on the is also one of the alleged witnesses. It is also one of the alleged witnesses. It is a thief and that the police of the complainant after being informed by the R light that in an interview the next door neighbor one is also one of the stated to the R/Sgt that he told the officers that were chasing the offenders in the shots fired call that the offenders had ran into his girl friend's (the victim's) apartment with this is stated to the R/Sgt that he no longer wished to pursue his complaint against any member of the Chicago Police Dept. and would sign a form stating this. (s
	att#17) 4. The accused officers stated in their reports that they responded to a call of shots fired in the area of the superior arrival off. Monamara and off. Donovan observed three male black subjects with the CHA courtyard, the off gave chase until the offenders ran into a residence at the courty and the offenders had also told officer in that the offenders had
ATENTS-	INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ALLEGATION LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS: 1 ATT#18 O INVESTIGATIVE REPORTS— SUPPORTING ACCUSED MEMBER(S) LIST ATTACHMENT NUMBERS: 1 ATT#18 O 18
1	Summarize the findings and recommendations. Rule violations will be cited by number only. One overall recommendation for Disciplinary Action will be made by the investigator. The recommendation will be for ALL sustained findings; recommendations will NOT be made for each sustained allegation. Example: 1. Violation noted, no disciplinary action warranted. 2. That the accused member be reprimanded. 3. That the accused member be suspended for days (not to exceed 5 days) The R/Sgt concludes that with witnesses or physical evidence to support the collegation of the support the collegation of the support the collegation.
	dence to support the allegation of the complainant that this complaint be NON SSUSTAINED.
, v r +	RECOMMANDATION FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION: NONE
VIION	
END	"REVIEWED BY"
WWO:	AUG 1 2 1994 1/5
-RE(FP
FINDINGS-RECOMMENDATIONS	
(DA)	E INITIATED 20 JUNE 94 DATE COMPLETED ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME) ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME) ELAPSED TIME (TOTAL TIME) EXPRESSED IN OAYS) 17 days
_	EIVED FOR INVESTIGATION) REPORT) / JULY 94 EXPRESSED IN OAYS) 17 days stigator will initiate the Command Channel Review
	by completing the Investigator's Section.

IF NECESSARY, USE AN BY × 11" SHEET OF WHITE PAPER TO CONTINUE ANY ITEM.